

## Chapter 4: Deviations in Christianity

### **Religious and Political Mischiefs of Paul**

A man known as Paul was the first to claim that he was a prophet for the Gentiles. However, it is my belief that Paul had appointed himself to that office as Jesus Christ had banned his disciples from going to the Gentiles and from entering into the cities of the Samaritans as we have already shown.

The Romans who were the colonizers of Israel during the lifetime of Jesus Christ knew that Jesus Christ was anti-imperialist; he did not wish to see his people colonized and enslaved by the Romans, and so he (Jesus) brought that religion to open the eyes of his fellow Jews and to emancipate their minds so that they might demand their liberation from their oppressors. This is why, he kept insisting that, "*...he was only sent to the lost sheep of Israel...*" Matthew. 10:5–6.

To liberate and emancipate people is a hard task and this made Jesus Christ most hated in Israel by the Romans and their Jewish agents, the Pharisees and the Scribes. But despite all of that, the order of Christ for preaching the Gospel only to the Jews was obeyed by all of his disciples.

The Bible confirms this fact saying: "*....Now, they which were scattered abroad upon the persecution that arose about Stephen travelled as far as Phenice, and Cyprus, and Antioch, preaching the word to none but unto the Jews only....*" Acts.11:19.

Paul however, was a very crafty and intelligent Jew. He knew that by using religion he would earn and achieve political and social influence in Rome and it was only by preaching contrary to what Christ had done and through persecution of his followers and perhaps killing them he might be able to influence the Romans who viewed Christ as their bitter enemy.

Paul confessed this fact by saying: "*...I verily thought with my self, that I ought to do many things contrary to the name of Jesus of Nazareth. Which thing I also did in Jerusalem: and many of the saints did I shut up in prison, having received authority from the chief priests; and when they were put to death, I gave my voice against them. And I punished them oft in every synagogue and compelled them to*

*blaspheme; and being exceedingly mad against them, I persecuted them even unto strange cities...."*

Acts. 26:9–11.

In his crafty nature and while in the process of creating his new religion contrary to the religion of Christ, Paul used different approach, even hypocritical ones. Here are his own words: "...*For though I be free from all men, yet have I made my self-servant unto all, that I might gain the more. And unto the Jews I became as a Jew, that I might gain the Jews; to them that are under the law, as under the law... that .I might gain them that are under the law; to them that are without law, as without law, that I might gain them that are without law...*" 1Cor. 9:19–21.

Christians have been moved by Paul's teachings throughout the history of the Church. They consider him to be a prophet appointed by Christ to revive his Church and his ministry but a little research of his biography signifies that even the Jews of his time did not appreciate his lifestyle, thoughts and his religious activities. Here is the testimony of the Jews:

*"...for we have found this man a pestilent fellow, and a mover of sedition among all the Jews throughout the world, and a ring-leader of the sect of the Nazarenes: Who also hath gone about to profane the temple: whom we took, and would have judged according to our law..."* Acts.24:5–6.

Thus, there is no doubt that according to the testimony of the Jews and those who knew Paul, he was a pestilent, a ringleader of the sect of Nazarenes. The "sect of Nazarenes" here, refers to the pagans who dwelt and lived in Nazareth during Paul's life time, Paul also profaned the temple of the Jews which was founded as a monotheistic religion by inserting his pagan culture and ideas therein; e.g. he said that Jesus was God in flesh. By this he pushed the concept of "God incarnation" taken from his original Nazarene sect of paganism to his new founded religion called Christianity (afterwards) in Antioch. This is the reason why neither the Jews nor the Disciples of Christ accepted Paul's teachings.

But according to the Bible, the disciples debated with Paul for many days, and when Paul realized that he could not convince them of his claims. He departed from them and went to the Gentiles on his own authority, so that the actual reason for him to enter unto the Gentiles with the Gospel was the lack of support from the Disciples of Christ.

In addition to that, the disciples forsook Paul who became angry and then said; "... *And when they opposed themselves, and blasphemed, he shook his raiment, and said unto them, your blood be upon your own heads; I am clean: from henceforth I will go unto the Gentiles...*" Acts. 18:6.

Paul's unauthorized mission started after his differences with the Jews and the disciples of Jesus Christ due to his false doctrines and beguiling nature, and the first place where Paul had aim to establish his religion was Antioch, the Scripture says; "...*Then departed Barnabas to Tarsus, for to seek Saul: And when he had found him, he brought him unto Antioch. And it came to pass that a whole year they assembled themselves with the church, and taught much people. And 'the disciples were called Christians first in Antioch...*" Acts. 11:25–26.

Thus, there is no doubt in saying that Christianity is the religion founded by Paul and not Jesus Christ, and here, I give you more information about the nature of Paul before you read about the differences between the teachings of Christ and Paul.

Hypocrisy and lies are the two qualities of Paul, a man believed by many Christians to be the cornerstone of Christianity after Christ. Here Paul is saying; "*...I am a man which am a Jew of Tarsus, a city in Cilicia, a citizen of no mean city, and I beseech thee, suffer me to speak unto the people...*" Acts. 21:39.

But on another occasion he claimed being a Roman; "*...and as they bound him with thongs, Paul said unto the centurion that stood by, is it lawful for you to scourge a man that is a Roman. Then the chief captain came, and said unto him, tell me, art thou the Roman?*" He (Paul) said, Yea..."Acts. 22:26–28.

This is the real nature of Paul who assumed his own authority to teach the Gentiles, regardless of the prohibition from Jesus Christ. He went with all whims and fancies supported by his high intelligence and craftiness to beguile the people and started his mission alleging that, Christ came to save both Jews and the Gentiles.

In fact, Paul's teachings contain some religious ethics mixed with ancient paganism and so the Romans accepted these easily as opposed to the teachings of Christ which were based mainly on monotheism, coming with special codes of conduct. In addition to this, the Romans had considered Christ as their dangerous enemy, a traitor and a Jewish nationalist.

There is therefore no excuse for Paul's preaching the Gospel to the Gentiles. Paul himself knew this fact, and he knew that neither God nor Christ had sent him to preach to the Gentiles; this is why he confessed saying: "*...I say again, let no man think me a fool: if otherwise, yet as a fool receives me, that I may boast myself a little. That which I speak, I speak it not after the Lord, but as it were foolishly, in this confidence of boasting...*" 2 Cor. 11:16–17.

Paul went and preached to the Gentiles in order to achieve his own goals which is personal glory and he did not speak after the Lord's command as he admitted that himself. So the claim that Paul was sent by Jesus to preach the Gospel to the Gentiles in the alleged vision between the road of Jerusalem and Damascus is nothing but a myth, which has no evidence. We think that, this is also a self-glorification that originated from the desire of his flesh as he himself confessed that by saying: "*... Seeing that many glory after the flesh, I will glory also...*" 2 Cor. 11:18.

Thus, Paul lied by appointed himself as a prophet for the Gentiles, when he said: "...*For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles, I magnify mine office...*" Rom. 11:13. Compare this claim of Paul's to the order by Jesus Christ's: "...*Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: but go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel...*" Matt. 10:5–6.<sup>1</sup>

And thus, Paul was right to attribute his writings and preaching to himself and not to God or Christ when

he said and admit openly saying; "...I have planted, Apollos watered; but God gave the increase..." 1Cor. 3:6-7.

Yes, Paul planted which means the establishment of his religion. Then Apollos watered, signifying the assistance, which he got from Apollos, a Jew from Alexandria; but still we have to ask ourselves how and why God gave increase to those teachings that are contrary to that of Jesus Christ?

These words of Paul will simply mean that so long as you want to magnify the work and the glory of God, you are allowed to lie and use all deceitful means. Yet you are not judged as a sinner. Thus, you could plant a seed of any false religion by the help or assistance of any one, and immediately God will bless it and give the increase in its teachings as Paul claimed.. !! Hallelujah ...!! Hallelujah...!! Everybody say Amen ..!!Amen ..!!

## **A Baseless Claim**

After it was discovered that Paul had disobeyed Jesus Christ by going to the Gentiles, and that his teachings were totally against Christ and the principles of original Christianity, the European Biblical scholars defended Paul's act of going to the Gentiles and made it valid by using Jesus' command which says; "...*Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost...*" Matt. 28:19.

But this command of Jesus' simply meant "to go and teach those twelve nations and tribes of Israel and not the Nations of the world" as this would contradict Christ's next order which says; "...*But when they persecute you in this city, flee ye into another: for verily I say unto you, Ye shall not have gone over the cities of Israel, till the Son of man be come...*" Matt. 10:23.

This indicates that Christ expected his disciples to remain in the cities of Israel, travelling from town to town till his second coming as they would never finish preaching his Gospel to the people of Israel until his coming. For this work, Jesus promised them a big reward in the hereafter which will be to judge the same "twelve nations of Israel" (not all the nations of the world) by saying; "...*Ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel...*" Matt. 19:28. This indicates the restriction of the ministry of Jesus to Israel only.

## **Comparison between the Teachings of Paul and Those by Jesus Christ**

Here I shall briefly prove to you my reader that the teachings of Paul were not only contrary to the teachings of Jesus Christ but they also demolish the very foundation of the original religion of Christ.

Paul did not represent Christ when he formulated and established his own religion by writing his books, which were canonized and included in the New Testament by order of the Council of Nicea in 325 AD.

This Council was responsible for changing the original Christianity founded by Jesus into that of Euro-centric origin based on European paganism and mythologies.

Here are a few examples:

(1) In teaching his disciples that he was not God, Jesus Christ said the following remarks; "...*And Jesus said unto him, Why callest thou me good? None is good, save One, that is God...*" Luke.18:19. But Paul declared Christ as Great God when he said; "...*Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the Great God and our Savior Jesus Christ...*" Titus. 2:13.

In teaching his disciples that he was sent only to guide the Jews and not the Gentiles, Jesus Christ said; "...*I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel...*" Matt. 15:24.

And he ordered his disciples saying; "...*Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and unto any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel...*" Matt. 10:5–6. But Paul said to the Disciples of Christ; "...*Your blood be upon your own heads; I am clean: from henceforth I will go unto the Gentiles...*" Acts. 18:5–6.

And then Paul, contrary to Jesus, claimed the office of Prophethood for the Gentiles saying; "...*For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as am the Apostle of the Gentiles, I magnify mine office...*" Rom. 11:13.

In fact, this is a lie as I have proved earlier and in addition to that, Paul himself admitted this fact saying; "...*Brethren, I speak after the manner of men; though it be but a man's covenant, yet if it be confirmed, no man disannulleth, or addeth thereto...*" Gal. 3:15.

To Jesus Christ, the laws were neither to be broken nor destroyed. He confirmed this fact saying; "...*Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the Prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one little shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled...*" Matt. 5:17–20.

But Paul allegedly abolished all laws by claiming that, "...*Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the law, being made a curse for us: for it is written, cursed is every one that hangeth on a tree...*" Gal. 3:13.

After he has regarded all laws as "a curse", he continued to philosophize on the matter of laws by assuming that there is no need of keeping the laws to achieve forgiveness and salvation by assuming that the human sacrifice of Christ is the only thing which can free us from sin and not the mercy of God, abiding with the laws or repentance, for he said, "...*And almost all things are by the law purged with blood; and without shedding of blood is no remission...*" Heb. 9:22.

This is another lie. Jesus never taught this philosophy. He only taught us that God forgives with His Mercy and He is not in need of any sacrifice to save the sinner; Jesus Christ contradicts Paul in this matter saying; "...*But if ye have known what this meaneth, I will have mercy, and not sacrifice, ye would not have condemned the guiltless...*" Matt. 12:7.[2](#)

In the religion of Jesus, which is Islam, Jesus Christ taught that there is only One God by saying; "...*The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel; the Lord our God is one Lord...*" Mark. 12:29.

This same Lord appeared to Moses as in Deut. 6:4, the same God is the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob as in Ex. 4:5, the Eternal God who can neither change nor die and none is like unto Him as it has been explained in 1 Tim. 6:16. This God is the God and the Lord of all, This God, the Creator of all things neither begets nor was He begotten as it has been explained in Isaiah. 43:10, and He does not dwell on or inhabit the earth as was explained in 2 Chro. 6:18.

Contrary to that clear and pure concept of God taught by Jesus Christ, Paul came with a new concept about God, which has been mixed with paganism. He brought a new religion contrary to the religion of Christ portraying Jesus Christ as God, who came to this world in human form by way of that mystical process of incarnation through flesh and blood.

And then, Paul, by philosophizing in the nature of Jesus and by preaching that Jesus is God or the one who is equal with the Creator, recapitulated his new doctrine by saying: "...*Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus: Who being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God: But made himself of no reputation and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men. And being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross...*" Phil. 2:5-8.

Circumcision, which was a religious tradition of the Jews and Jesus Christ, is now neglected by the Christians under the teachings of Paul. We read from the Bible that; "...*And in the eighth day the flesh of his foreskin shall be circumcise...*" Lev. 12:3.

This law was implemented by the parents of Jesus Christ and Jesus himself never condemned it, in this regard we read again that; "...*And when eight days were accomplished for the circumcising of the child, his name was called Jesus...*" Luke. 2:21.

But the self-appointed prophet of the Gentiles, Paul, had made a big blunder on this command by regarding it as nothing saying; "...*Is any man called being circumcised? Let him not become uncircumcised. Is any called in uncircumcision? Let him not be circumcised. Circumcision is nothing, and uncircumcision is nothing but the keeping of the commandments of God...*" 1Cor. 7:18-19.<sup>3</sup>

The Bible maintains that there are things which are lawful and others which are unlawful to eat and so Jesus Christ did not allow his disciples to eat each and every thing. Concerning the flesh, both the Bible and the Qur'an maintained the unlawfulness of the flesh of swine as it is explained in the book of Deuteronomy saying; "...*And the swine, because it divideth the hoof; yet cheweth not the cud, it is unclean unto you: ye shall not eat of their flesh, nor touch their dead carcass...*" Dent. 14:8

Jesus – who was superior to Paul – admitted the validity of this instruction when he said: "...*Think not that I am come to destroy the law or the Prophets ...*" Matt. 5: 17-20. But it seems that Paul was

unaware of all this, and on his own authority, he permitted Christians to eat and use the flesh of swine by declaring that, all things are clean: "...*For one believeth that he may eat all things, another, who is weak, eateth herbs...*" Rom. 14:2-3.

Remember that, his saying that all things are clean for those who believe is a trick which aims to destroy and abolish the laws which existed among the Jews since the time of Moses in which his Master Jesus had not dared to abolish. His craftiness and beguiling methods in fighting against the laws are illustrated in his words: "...*the law produces wrath, but where there is no law, neither is there any transgression...*" Rom. 4:15.

Compare these words of Paul which reject the importance of law with the words of his close disciple Luke when he said, "...*It is easier for heaven and earth to pass away, than for one dot of the law to become void...*" Luke. 16:17.

Here, Paul is urging the people to believe that they might eat every thing they desire. It is strange enough to realize that to Paul, the belief of God makes you free to eat all things you wish; however, the scripture has identified many things as lawful and others as unlawful for the believers, which means that mankind has to abide with laws and divine principles forever and not for a short period of time.

1. Don't you see this contradiction'? How could Jesus have banned his disciples from preaching his gospel to the Gentiles but permitted Paul, who was not even among his disciples, to do so? Paul knew that this was a lie. He admitted his lie, but to cover his sin of lying, he brought a strange concept which teaches that he goal justifies the means, by saying; "...for if the truth of God hath more abounded through my lie unto his glory; why yet am I also judged as a sinner?" Rom. 3:7.

2. This statement of Jesus is among the evidences which testify that there was no need for the sacrifice of Christ or his death on the cross for the removal of the sins of the world, as God here confirm his divine mercy and reject the assumed human sacrifice.

3. Here, Paul is unaware that the very state of being uncircumcised is a violation of the Commandments. How then does he support the keeping of the Commandments and at the same time ignore this traditional command of circumcision. So when he said that circumcision is nothing and uncircumcision is nothing, his very words are not in keeping with the Commandments. But Paul here, was aiming to destroy the religion and traditions of the laws rooted in the Bible by modernizing them craftily to suit the social and political culture of the Romans and other Gentile nations and thus satisfy his own deviant satanic desires.

---

#### Source URL:

<https://www.al-islam.org/islam-and-christianity-comparative-study-muhammad-ali-zenjibari/chapter-4-deviations-christianity#comment-0>