

Published on *Al-Islam.org* (https://www.al-islam.org)

<u>Home > Shi'ite Islam: Orthodoxy Or Heterodoxy? Second Amended and Amplified Edition > Introduction:</u>
The Issue at Hand

## Introduction: The Issue at Hand

In a concise chapter dealing with She'ism, Hamilton Alexander Rosskeen Gibb echoes a persistent prejudice: the categorical affirmation that She'ite Islem, with respect to Sunne Islem, is "the other main sect of Islem—the only important schismatic sect." 1 To him, She'ism is the *ubi consistam* [essence] of the definition of sect which, according to his understanding, embraces diverse "systems of Islamic doctrines and beliefs which are generally repudiated by the orthodox... as heretical" (81). To speak of "heresy" in Islem, however, requires a sufficiently clear understanding of its meaning. 2 When Gibb uses the word "heretical," however, he does not use it as descriptive adjective nor is he necessarily making a value judgement. For him, it is merely a matter of fact which needs to be analyzed. The most disturbing aspect of this conception of She'ism, however, is not the simplistic explanation it gives to its historical development, but rather its excessively broad scope. It does not say anything for want of saying too much.

Gibb attempts to give a broad definition of "sect" and "heresy," applying it to everything in Isl®m that remotely resembles other Eastern traditions. The evidence he provides, however, is far too scarce. He insists on demonstrating, at any cost, that Sh® is inherently schismatic and sectarian. He uses the literary elasticity of the word "sect" [in English] to explain that Sh® ite Isl®m, due to its minority status in the Muslim world, must constitute a doctrinal off–shoot or a split from the Islamic majority. At the same time, he wishes to prove that true "orthodoxy" is to be found almost exclusively in the Sunn® doctrinal tradition. The erroneous application of the term "sect" to Sh® ite Isl®m, however, does not resolve the problem of its historical origin. A true understanding of Sh® ite Isl®m cannot be obtained through insufficient scholarship. It can only be reached through a close analysis of its religious and spiritual psychology as manifested in the Islamic world.

The definition of Shītism as the only "sect" of Islīm is due in part to its more profound esoteric character which stands in contrast to the essentially exoteric character of Sunnīt Islīm.4 Although there are no substantial differences between the fundamentals of faith of Shītite and Sunnīt Islīm, Shītism seems to possess something more profound in the spiritual realm. Despite this fact, Western scholars tend to view the differences between Shītite and Sunnīt Islīm as the result of a mere political

dispute relating to the succession of the Prophet Mutammad rather than a transcendental metaphysical matter. 5 However, it is only through an understanding of the mystical dimension of She ism that one can understand why it appealed to Hindus and Persians while at the same time some of the Arabs viewed it with reticence. In fact, even when some scholars stubbornly persist on calling She ism an "Aryan Persian creation, 6" history is clear on the issue: She ism was introduced into Persia in the 16th century by a Turkish dynasty, the Safavids, who were, as is well–known, a tartqah or the brotherhood. 7 Until then, the Persians were mainly Sunnes. She ism was only unanimously accepted among them ten centuries after the death of 'Alt ibn Abt telib and the events that contributed to the creation of She ism.8

We are not going to get down to details at this point. For now, what needs to be stressed is the perfectly orthodox nature of Sh®ite Isl®m and its reality as an integral part of the Islamic revelation. 9 This fact is so clearly manifest that it cannot be overlooked on the basis of tendentious historical arguments that insist on confining Sh®ism within the imprecise bounds of concepts like "sect" or "heresy." In this aspect, modern Western criticism of Sh®ism is unjustified and misguided. Contrary to the common views of Orientalists, Sh®ite Isl®m is not a "sect," a "heterodox" form of Isl®m or anything else that fits into the definition made by Gibb or any other specialist. 10

One of the most common mistakes made by Orientalists is the attempt to study Sh®'ite Isl®m on the basis of such simplified sectarian parameters. It is all the worst when this approach reinforces the argument that Sh®'ism is the result of a separation, when **this Western concept of religious schism is totally alien to traditional Islamic thought.** If we wish to move beyond these objections against the orthodoxy of Sh®'ite Isl®m, we should first note that Westerners often consider Isl®m, in contrast to the multifarious branches of Christianity, 11 as a conglomerate of mutually contradictory doctrines which is patently not the case. We are not claiming that real differences never existed within Isl®m. They did indeed exist, particularly during its initial period between the seventh and tenth centuries. It was then that a great variety of philosophical, theological and theosophical theories started to manifest themselves in all areas of Islamic thought.

These different ideological currents that flourished were not "sects" in the true sense of the term and are most adequately called "schools of thought." 12 While some of them survived to the present, most of them have disappeared, leaving us only their names. 13 In any case, we must not overlook the process of cultural and ideological interaction which takes place when Islem comes into contact with foreign cultures. Such contact is an important aspect of what differentiates the Islamic tradition from others.

Although there are many traditions within the tradition, Islem has always maintained its cohesion and unity, a fact that often draws the attention of outside observers.

Although Isl®m is united, it is not uniform. The sciences studied in any traditional civilization—namely, a civilization based on divine revelation—depend on the metaphysical principles and the religious fundamentals of that revelation. Consequently, Islamic doctrines, regardless of their modes of

expression, have always reflected and echoed the central doctrine of divine unity [tawerd]. It is due to the centrality of tawerd that Islem was capable of integrating various systems of thought into its perspective and final objective. The presence of diversity within the Islamic tradition does not undermine its transcendence and inner unity. 14 Rather, as Seyyed Tossein Natr explains, it is the means that assures the spiritual unity in a world composed of a conglomerate of diverse cultures, languages and races (Shtite Islem 3–28). It is in this sense that it is appropriate to speak of sects. In order to avoid any possible misunderstandings, however, it is essential to clarify the sense of the term. 15

- 1. Editor's Note: The author quotes from the Spanish translation. For the original English, see H.A.R. Gibb's Monammedanism, especially chapter 7 "Orthodoxy and Shi sism."
- Author's Note: The book is not very favorable towards Isl®m. For starters, it defines Isl®m as "Mo®ammedanism" when it is well–known that Isl®m does not demand a personal adherence to the Prophet like that of Christianity towards Jesus. Editor's Note: As Massignon explains: "If Christianity is fundamentally the acceptance and imitation of Christ before the acceptance of the Bible, Isl®m, on the contrary, is the acceptance of the Qur'®n before the imitation of Mu®ammad, as the Prophet himself explicitly declared" (94–95).
- 2. Author's Note: Like some modern Muslim authors, the only thing that Gibb retains from Shefite Islem is that it is a religious minority whose historical development has been, to a certain extent, interpreted as a "heresy," although without the annoying nuance that word has acquired in the West. Be that as it may, none of the many schools of Islem are willing to accept such a label, particularly as it is understood by Westerners, with all of its pejorative connotations. If, under certain circumstances, anyone has labeled himself as a "heretic," it has been as an act of opposition against all "heretics," those who have made "order" out of their own "disorder," considering it an "orthodox" norm. Shefism is a reaction, if we can say so, against those who have become "disordered." It can thus be seen as a "disorder" which attacks the previous "disorder" in order to reestablish the old original order, from which the Muslim majority has become "separated." On this basis, it can be understood why Imem al-Shefife called himself a "heretic" (refire, from the Arabic "rejecter") when he declared that "If loving the Family of Mueammad is 'heresy'...May the Two Weighty Things (the jinn and men) testify that I am a 'heretic'!" (in kena rafdhan eubbu eli Mueammadin fal yashhadith thaqalaan anne refire). One can be a "heretic" with respect to another "heresy" as in the case of Prophet Abraham who, according to Islemic tradition, confessed to being a "heretic." The same applies to Mueammad with respect to the idolaters.

Editor's Note: With its balance between the exoteric and the esoteric, She'ism can also be viewed as the true legacy of complete Islem which reestablishes its function in the face of incomplete Islem which is either legalistic in the cases of Sunnism or spiritual in the case of selfism.

3. Editor's Note: Merely because She'ites are a minority does not mean that She'ism is heterodox. Tejene argues that the She'ites are representatives of Islemic orthodoxy and that they are followers of the prophetic Sunnah [Tradition]. See, The She'ah: The Real Followers of the Sunnah / al-She'ah hum ahl al-sunnah. In She'ite eyes, the Imems are the personification of the Sunnah. They are al-eiree al-mustagem [the straight path], al-'urwe al-wuthqe [the insoluble bond] ner Alleh al-hede [the guiding light of Alleh] al-imen wa al-Islem [the faith, Islem] wa al-sunnah wa al-salem [the prophetic tradition and peace]. The author of this book, Luis Alberto Vittor, does not make an exclusive claim to orthodoxy; rather, he recognizes the orthodox nature of mainstream Sunne and She'ah Islem. This is the same position taken by Seyyed Eossein Naer who writes that "She'ism and elefism are both, in different ways and on different levels, intrinsic aspects of Islemic orthodoxy" (elefa Essays 104–105).

According to NaTr, Sunnism and Twelve-ImTm ShTism stand in the middle of the spectrum of IsITm as far as orthodoxy and heterodoxy are concerned (The Heart of IsITm 86). In Western studies, however, "orthodoxy is limited to its exoteric aspect" (86) which is inadequate as "[t]here is an exoteric orthodoxy and orthopraxy and there is an esoteric orthodoxy and orthopraxy" (86).

Exoterically, in practice, Wahh bis and Kharijites are orthodox. Esoterically, in spirit, in scriptural interpretation, they might be viewed as heterodox by mainstream Sunnis and Sh bites. If they are hostile towards the ahl al-bayt and their followers, Sh bites would view them as heretical. So long as they observe the shar bites and the Ism bites are orthodox. In

orthopraxy, there is no objection against the Asmadiyyah. It is in their 'aqsdah [creed], their belief in a prophet after Musammad ibn 'Abd Allsh, where their heresy lies.

It should be noted, however, that the followers of Merze Ghulam Aemed split into two camps after his death: one who believed that he was indeed a prophet, the Messiah and the Mahde, and one who believed that he was not a prophet, but a reformer (and the Messiah and the Mahde based on a weak tradition within the corpus of Bukhere). The former are known as the Aemade, and the later are known as the Lahori Group. Mueammad 'Ale, the author of The Religion of Islem, was a member of the Lahori group, known as the Lahori Aemadis.

The Moorish Science Temple, the Nation of Isl®m, the Five Percenters, the An®®r, and other cults, are evidently outside the fold of Isl®m in 'aq®dah [creed], orthodoxy, and orthopraxy.

- 4. Editor's Note: As Natr notes, "the esoteric dimension of Islem...in the Sunne climate is almost totally connected with testing" (sets Essays 105).
- 5. Editor's Note: This is also the attitude of many Sunn® scholars. As Sayyid Mu®ammad Rizv® summarizes: In the polemical writings of the Sunnis, it is asserted that Sunn® Isl®m is "orthodox Isl®m" whereas Sh®'ism is a "heretical sect" that began with the purpose of subverting Isl®m from within. This idea is sometimes expressed by saying that Sh®'ism began as a political movement and later on acquired religious emphasis. (Chapter 1)

As Jafr® explains, "It is...difficult to speak, at any stage of its existence, about the 'political' Sh®'ah as distinct from the 'religious' one" (2). The historian Matti Moosa acknowledges that "Sh®'ism, or the support of 'Al®, grew in the early period of Isl®m as a spiritual movement, based on the assumption that the leadership of the Muslim community was a spiritual office and that 'Al® had been singled out from among all Muslims to fill it" (xv). Moosa confirms that:

It was in the time of 'Uthmen that the term She'ite, which until then had had only a spiritual connotation, began to assume a political significance. Those supporting 'Ale became known as the She'ites [partisans] of 'Ale, while those supporting 'Uthmen became known as the She'ites [partisans] of 'Uthmen. (xv)

The faction of 'E'ishah, EalEah and Zubayr [called the "People of the Camel" or atteb al-jamal] and the Syrians [ahl al-Shem] were also known as the she at Mu'ewiyyah (Jafre 95-96).

- 6. Editor's Note: As Massignon explains, "the theorists deny the authenticity of Islemic mysticism, which is portrayed as a form of the racial, linguistic, and national reaction by the Aryan peoples, particularly the Iranians, against the Arab Islemic conquest. Renan, P. de Lagarde, and more recently Reitzenstein, Blochet, and E.G. Browne, have helped to spread this theory" (46).
- 7. Editor's Note: The Safavids were a dynasty that ruled Persia from 1501 to 1736. Founded by Isme'il, leader of the lafawi left brotherhood, they imposed Twelver She'ism as their state religion for political purposes. At a time when various Muslim groups were vying for power, each claiming the right to rule, the Twelvers did not present a political threat since Imem Mahde was in Occultation and would only return towards the end of the world. The spread of She'ism also helped protect the lafaveds from the Ottoman threat to the West and from the Uzbeks from the East.

The <code>Tafaw</code> period was a golden age for Shtite scholarship and produced such prolific scholars as 'Allemah Majlist, author of Biter al-anwer. While this work is monumental in size, it is flawed in many aspects: 1) the author was unable to review it and correct it; 2) it is an exceedingly late compilation of traditions; and 3) it contains an enormous quantity of false and fabricated traditions.

Despite the author's enormous and commendable effort, the work has been given undue importance in recent times. Contemporary Iranian scholars have warned readers about this work, reminding them that it should not be placed on par with other more complete and reliable books of <code>ladlth</code>. While Majlisl planned to subject the traditions to critical analysis and due categorization, he died before being able to do so, and the subsequent Editors of his work have left it as such, without the editing it requires.

- 8. Editor's Note: As Massignon explains, "In reality, She'ism, which is presented to us as a specifically Persian Islemic heresy, was propagated in Persia by pure Arab colonists, who had come from Kefah to Qum" (46). All of the 3,000 tawweben were Arabs (Jafre 232). For more on the falsity of the Persian origin of She'ism, see Tejene's Then I was Guided 158–59.
- 9. Editors' Note: As Na®r has observed, "The reality of Sh®'ism and ®®fism as integral aspects of the Isl®mic revelation is too dazzlingly clear to be ignored or explained away on the basis of a tendentious historical argument" (®®f® Essays 104).

- 10. Editor's Note: Na®r is correct when he states that "One should never refer to Sh®ism as a whole as sect, any more than one would call the Greek Orthodox Church a sect" (Heart of Isl®m 87). As Jafr® explains, "In the infant years of Isl®mic history, one cannot speak of the so-called 'orthodox' Sunnah and the 'heretical' Sh®'ah, but rather of two ill-defined points of view that were nevertheless drifting steadily, and finally irreconcilably, further apart" (2).
- 11. Editor's Note: Christianity is divided into three major branches: Roman Catholicism, Eastern Orthodoxy and Protestantism. There are further subdivided into rites and sects which number in the thousands. The Holy Qur's n criticizes the schisms of the Jews and Christians (98:4; 10:93).
- 12. Editor's Note: The Arabic term for "school" is madhhab. In Islem, there are numerous schools of jurisprudence, schools of recitation of the Qur'en, schools of Qur'enic commentary, schools of prophetic traditions, and schools of philosophy, rendering the Wahhebe refutation of madhehibs senseless. The Islemic intellectual tradition was one of tolerance. The early Muslims argued with the best arguments, following the commandment of Alleh: "Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord with wisdom and beautiful preaching; and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious: for thy Lord knoweth best, who have strayed from His Path, and who receive guidance" (16:125).

While there were thousands of rays of reason, they all radiated from the same sun of tawerd. The Prophet and the Imems debated and discussed in an atmosphere of respect and tolerance with Christians, Jews, Zoroastrians, Manicheists, polytheists, and atheists. As Naer explains, "On the basis of the Qur'enic doctrine of religious universality and the vast historical experiences of a global nature, Islemic civilization developed a cosmopolitan and worldwide religious perspective unmatched before the modern period in any other religion" (The Heart of Islem 40).

The decline of Islemic civilization and culture is, in part, the result of the imposition of official orthodoxies. The exponential growth of science and scholarship in the early days of Islem was cut short when freedom of thought was suppressed and dogmas came to dominate. The phenomenon of rapid evolution that came about through Islem applied to exegesis, jurisprudence, grammar, and a whole host of sciences. W.F. Albright's description of "cultural revolution" easily applies to Islem: "When a culture is replaced by another culture we almost always note a sudden change, a real mutation, with changes taking place in one generation which under normal circumstances would take a millennium" (88).

- 13. Editor's Note: The existing schools of Sunn® jurisprudence include the <code>®anaf®</code>, Sh<code>®fi'®</code>, M<code>®lik®</code> and <code>®anbal®</code>. Other, no longer extant Sunn® schools of fiqh, include the <code>®®hir®</code> school from al-Andalus, the <code>Jar®r®</code> school founded by <code>@abar®</code>; and the schools of al-Awz<code>®'®</code>, <code>@asan</code> al-Ba<code>®r®</code>, Ab<code>®</code> 'Uyaynah, Ibn Ab<code>®</code> Dhu'ayb, Sufy®n al-Thawr®, Ibn Ab<code>®</code> D<code>®w®d</code>, and Layth ibn Sa'd, among others.
- 14. Author's Note: For an excellent overview of the diverse literature produced by early Isl®mic civilization, see Morrow, John Andrew "Pre and Early Isl®mic Literature." The Cultural History of Reading. Ed. Gabrielle Watling. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 2008.
- 15. Editor's Note: The author is alluding to Descartes' statement: "I will not argue with you unless you define your terms."

## Source URL:

https://www.al-islam.org/shiite-islam-orthodoxy-or-heterodoxy-second-amended-and-amplified-edition-luis-alberto-vittor-9#comment-0